

# Simpson's Theory and Superrigidity of Complex Hyperbolic Lattices

ALEXANDER REZNIKOV

**Abstract** We attack a conjecture of J. Rogawski: any cocompact lattice in  $SU(2, 1)$  for which the ball quotient  $X = B^2/\Gamma$  satisfies  $b_1(X) = 0$  and  $H^{1,1}(X) \cap H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \approx \mathbb{Q}$  is arithmetic. We prove the Archimedean superrigidity for representation of  $\Gamma$  is  $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ .

## Théorie de Simpson et superrigidité des réseaux hyperboliques complexes

**Résumé** Soit  $\Gamma \subset SU(2, 1)$  un réseau cocompact et soit  $X = B^2/\Gamma$ . Nous preuvons: si  $b_1(X) = 0$  et  $H^{1,1}(X) \cap H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \approx \mathbb{Q}$  alors tous les représentations  $\rho$  de  $\Gamma$  dans  $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$  sont conjuguées à la représentation naturelle ou la fermeture de Zariski de l'image  $p(\Gamma)$  est compacte.

**Version française abrégée** - Le théorème classique de Margulis dit que tous les réseaux dans les groupes de Lie semi-simples sont superrigides. Ceci a été généralisé par Corlette [C] à la superrigidité des réseaux quaternioniques et de Cayley. D'autre part, Johnson et Millson ont montré qu'il existait des déformations des réseaux cocompacts dans  $SO(n, 1)$  si on regarde  $SO(n, 1)$  comme plongé dans  $SO(n + 1, 1)$ .

C'est une question d'un intérêt fondamental de savoir si les réseaux hyperboliques complexes sont superrigides.

Dans cet article, nous considérons la question suivante de J. Rogawski.

Hypothèse Soit  $X = B^2/\Gamma, \Gamma \subset SU(2, 1)$  une surface hyperbolique complexe compacte. Supposons  $b_1(X) = 0$  et  $H^{1,1}(X) \cap H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$ . Alors  $\Gamma$  est arithmétique et provient d'une algèbre avec division  $E|\mathbb{Q}$  de rang 3 avec une involution.

Observons que pour tous les réseaux provenant d'algèbres avec division, on a effectivement  $b_1(X) = 0$  et  $H^{1,1}(X) \cap H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$  [Rog].

Soit  $\ell$  un fibré linéaire tautologique sur  $X$  [Re]. La condition  $H^{1,1}(X) \cap H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$  dit que  $[\ell] = k$  générateur dans  $Pic(X)/tors \approx \mathbb{Z}$ .

Le résultat principal de cet article prouve la superrigidité des représentations de  $\Gamma$  dans  $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$  dans le cas  $k = 1$ .

Theoremé principal Soit  $X = B^2/\Gamma$  et supposons que  $b_1(X) = 0$  et  $H^{1,1}(X) \cap H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$ . Soit  $[\ell]$  un générateur de  $Pic(X)/tors \approx \mathbb{Z}$ . Si  $\rho$  est une représentation de  $\Gamma$  dans  $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$  alors soit  $\rho$  est conjugué à la représentation naturelle de  $\Gamma$ , soit la fermeture de Zariski de l'image  $\rho(\Gamma)$  est compacte.

Je vaudrais remercier Ron Livné, Jon Rogawski, et Carlos Simpson pour beaucoup de discussions intéressantes. Je vaudrais aussi remercier Marina Ville et Lucy Katz pour son aide essentielle à la préparation de cet article.

# Simpson's Theory and Superrigidity of Complex Hyperbolic Lattices

ALEXANDER REZNIKOV

**0 Main Theorem** The classical theorem of Margulis establishes the superrigidity of lattices in semisimple Lie groups of rank  $\geq 2$ . The work of Corlette [C] extended this to (Archimedean) superrigidity of uniform quaternionic and Cayley lattices. On the other hand, by Johnson and Millson [JM] some uniform lattices in  $SO(n, 1)$  admit deformations as mapped to  $SO(n + 1, 1)$ .

It is therefore of fundamental interest to study to what extent the complex hyperbolic lattices are superrigid. Since there are nontrivial holomorphic maps between different ball quotients [DM] one should confine oneself's look to lattices (or manifolds) “minimal” in some sense.

The present note addresses the following conjecture of Jon Rogawski.

Conjecture. Let  $X = B^2/\Gamma, \Gamma \subset SU(2, 1)$  be a compact ball quotient. Suppose  $b_1(X) = 0$  and  $H^{1,1}(X) \cap H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \approx \mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is arithmetic and comes from a division algebra  $E|\mathbb{Q}$  of rank 3 with an involution.

Observe that for all lattices coming from division algebras, indeed  $b_1(X) = 0$  and  $H^{1,1}(X) \cap H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \approx \mathbb{Q}$  [Rog].

Let  $\ell$  be the tautological line bundle over  $X$  [Re]. Since  $Pic(X)/tors \approx \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $[\ell] = k \cdot$  generator for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The main result of the paper establishes the superrigidity of representations of  $\Gamma$  in  $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$  for  $\Gamma$  yielding  $k = 1$  as follows.

Main Theorem. Let  $X = B^2/\Gamma$  and suppose  $b_1(X) = 0$  and  $H^{1,1}(X) \cap H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \approx \mathbb{Q}$ . If  $[\ell]$  generates  $Pic(X)/tors \approx \mathbb{Z}$ , then any representation of  $\Gamma = \pi_1(X)$  in  $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$  is either conjugate to the natural representation up to the twist by a character, or has a compact Zariski closure.

One hopes, that, applying methods of [GS] one is able to prove the  $p$ -adic superrigidity and to settle Rogawski's conjecture.

I wish to thank Ron Livne, Jon Rogawski and Carlos Simpson for stimulating discussions.

**1. Computations of Higgs bundles.** We admit a knowledge of Simpson's theory [S1]. Let  $X$  be as above and let  $\rho_0 : \Gamma \rightarrow PSU(n, 1)$  be the natural representation. Then the corresponding Higgs bundle is as follows [Re]. Take  $E = TX \otimes \ell \oplus \ell$  as a holomorphic bundle and define  $\theta \in H^0(\Omega^1 \otimes \text{End}(E))$  by  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

In view of the Simpson's theory, for proving the Main Theorem one needs to show that any complex variation of Hodge structure [S1] of type (2,1) over  $X$  is as above. Indeed, any representation is deformable to one, corresponding to a variation of Hodge structure [S2], and the natural representation is rigid [W].

So let  $F = (\xi \oplus \eta, \theta)$  be a variation of complex Hodge structure, rank  $\xi = 2$ , rank  $\eta = 1, \theta \in H^0(\Omega^1(X) \oplus \text{Hom}(\eta, \xi)) \approx H^0(\text{Hom}(TX \otimes \xi, \eta))$ .

**1.2. LEMMA.** *Let  $\lambda, \mu$  be rank two bundles over  $X$  and let  $f \in H^0(\text{Hom}(\lambda, \mu)), f \neq 0$ . Then either rank  $f \leq 1$  everywhere or*

$$(c_1(\mu), [\omega]) \geq (c_1(\lambda), [\omega])$$

*with the equality iff  $\lambda \approx \mu$ , and rank  $f = 2$  everywhere. Here  $[\omega]$  is the Kähler class.*

PROOF: Consider  $\wedge^2 f : \wedge^2 \lambda \rightarrow \wedge^2 \mu$ . If  $\wedge^2 f \neq 0$ , then  $\wedge^2 \mu \oplus (\wedge^2 \lambda)^{-1}$  has a nontrivial holomorphic section, whose zero locus is an effective divisor, so  $(c_1(\wedge^2 \mu \otimes (\wedge^2 \lambda)^{-1}), [\omega]) \geq 0$  and the equality implies  $\wedge^2 f$  is an isomorphism.

## 2. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM:

Let  $F = (\xi \oplus \eta, \theta)$  be as above.

Case 1 Rank  $\theta = 2$  somewhere.

Applying the lemma, we get

$$(c_1(TX \otimes \xi), [\omega]) \leq (c_1(\eta), [\omega])$$

Now,  $[\omega] \sim [\ell]$  since  $X$  is hyperbolic, and  $c_1(TX) = -3[\ell]$  in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ , so

$$(c_1(\eta) - 2c_1(\xi), [\ell]) \leq 3[\ell]^2.$$

On the other hand, since  $\xi \oplus \eta$  is a deformation of the flat bundle,  $c_1(\xi \oplus \eta) = 0$ , i.e.  $c_1(\xi) = -c_1(\eta)$ , so

$$(*) \quad (c_1(\xi), [\ell]) \leq [\ell]^2.$$

Since  $F$  is  $\theta$ -stable [S1],  $(c_1(\eta), [\ell]) < 0$ , so  $(c_1(\eta), [\ell]) > 0$ . This leaves the only possibility  $(c_1(\xi), [\ell]) = [\ell]^2$ , because  $[\ell]$  generates  $Pic(X)/tors$ . So  $\xi = \ell \otimes \alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is a linear unitary flat bundle, corresponding to  $Pic(X)/tors \approx H_1^{tors}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ . (recall that  $b_1(X) = 0$ ). Moreover, since  $(*)$  becomes an equality, we get by lemma above  $\eta \approx TX \otimes \xi$  and  $\theta$  takes the form  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Hence  $F \approx (TX \otimes \ell \oplus \ell) \otimes \alpha$  and the proof is complete in this case.

Case 2 Rank  $\theta \leq 1$  everywhere on  $X$ . There exists a collection of points  $(p_1, \dots, p_k)$  such that  $\text{Ker } \theta$  extends to a rank one subbundle of  $TX \otimes \xi$ , say  $\alpha \otimes \eta$ . Since  $H^2(X - \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}) \approx H^2(X)$ ,  $c_1(\alpha)$  is well-defined in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ . Moreover, by the removing of singularities in codimension two we have  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}) \approx H^i(X - \{p_1 \dots p_k\}, \mathcal{O})$ , so from the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^* \rightarrow 1$  and the five-lemma we deduce that  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}^*) \approx H^1(X - \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}, \mathcal{O}^*)$ , so  $c_1(\alpha)$  is in the image of  $Pic(X)$  in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $C$  be an irreducible curve of sufficiently high degree, which does not meet  $p_1, \dots, p_k$ . Since  $TX \otimes \ell \oplus \ell$  remains  $\theta$ -stable on  $C$  [S1] we get  $(c_1(\alpha \otimes \ell)|_C, [C]) < 0$ . In view of  $H^{1,1}(X) \cap H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \approx \mathbb{Q}$  we can rewrite this as  $(c_1(\alpha), [\ell]) < -[\ell]^2$ . Since  $[\ell]$  generates  $Pic(X)/tors$ , this actually means  $(c_1(\alpha), [\ell]) \leq -2[\ell]^2$ . Now,  $c_1(TX) = -3[\ell]$ , so  $(c_1(TX/\alpha), [\ell]) \geq -[\ell]^2$ . On  $C$  we have an isomorphism

$$\theta|_C : TX|_C \otimes \alpha \otimes \xi \rightarrow \text{Im}\theta \subset \eta|_C.$$

Hence  $(c_1(\text{Im}\theta), [C]) = (c_1(TX/\alpha) + c_1(\xi), [C]) \geq (c_1(\xi) - [\ell], [C])$ . Since, again,  $[\ell]$  generates  $Pic(X)/tors$  and  $(c_1(\xi), [\ell] > 0$  we get  $(c_1(\xi) - [\ell], [C]) \geq 0$ . This contradicts the  $\theta$ -stability of  $\xi \oplus \eta|_C$ , because  $\text{Im}\theta|_C$  is  $\theta$ -invariant. The proof is complete.

#### References

- [C] K. Corlette, *Archimedean superrigidity and hyperbolic geometry*, Annals of Math., **135**, (1991), 165–182.
- [DM] P. Deligne, G. Mostow, *Commensurabilities Among Lattices in  $PSU(1, n)$* , Annals of Mathematical Studies, **132**, Princeton Univ. Press, 1993.

- [GS] M. Gromov, R. Schoen, *Harmonic maps into singular spaces and  $p$ -adic superrigidity for lattices in groups of rank one*, Publ.Math.IHES, **76** (1992), 165–246.
- [JM] D. Johnson and J. Millson, *Deformation spaces associated to compact hyperbolic manifolds*, in: Discrete Groups in Geometry and Analysis (papers in honor of G.D.Mostow), Progress in Math. **67**, (1987), 48–106.
- [Re] A. Reznikov, *All regulators of flat bundles are torsion*, Annals of Math., (1995), to appear.
- [Rog] J. Rogawski, *Automorphic Representation of Unitary Groups in Three Variables*, Sect. 15.3, Princeton Univ. Press, 1990.
- [S1] C. Simpson, *Higgs bundles and local systems*, Publ. Math. IHES 75 (1992), 5–95.
- [S2] C. Simpson, *Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety I*, Publ.Math.IHES **79** (1994), 47–129.
- [W] A. Weil, *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups I, II*, Annals of Math., **72** (1960), 369–384, **75** (1962), 578–602.

Institute of Mathematics

Hebrew University

Givat Ram 91904

ISRAEL

email: simplex@sunset.huji.ac.il